January 2023

SITE CARBON FOOTPRINT & PRODUCT CARBON FOOTPRINT THROUGH THE SUPPLY CHAIN





CARBON FOOTPRINT REPORT 2023

INTRODUCTION	3
SITE CARBON FOOTPRINT	4
PRODUCT CARBON FOOTPRINT	7
CASE STUDY 1:	
LIFECYCLE CARBON FOOTPRINT IN THE	
UK OF LOWPRO 23/05 ROAD PLATES	
COMPARED TO STEEL ROAD PLATES	9
SUMMARY	9
PARAMETERS	10
ASSUMPTIONS	11
RESULTS	12
CONCLUSION	13
APPENDIX	14



し

3

INTRODUCTION

Oxford Plastic Systems Ltd (Oxford Plastics) is dedicated to reducing carbon emissions in our supply chain.

We do this by designing and manufacturing carbon efficient products which are lightweight, durable, and repairable. This approach is illustrated in Figure 1, it helps to keep products within the circular economy and to reduce the consumption of carbon by ourselves, our customers and the end users who ultimately use the products.

OUR JOINED UP APPRAOCH TO SUSTAINABILITY

Working in partnership to reduce carbon emissions in the supply chain

Figure 1 Oxford Plastic's approach to sustainability through the supply chain¹



This report outlines the steps that Oxford Plastics has taken to quantify our carbon impact and that of our products. We have followed guidance from Carbon Footprint consultants and used online resources from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol¹ to create our first carbon footprint report.

1 https://ghgprotocol.org/

There is no legal regulatory requirement for Oxford Plastics to submit a carbon footprint report, however we believe that it is the responsibility of companies to take an active interest in the effects they have on the world around them. We strive to be a sustainable SME and hope that this report can facilitate other businesses to do the same. It is Oxford Plastic's intention to review our carbon impact on an annual basis, and to use these results to further decrease the carbon impact of our business, our products, and our supply chain as a whole.



SITE CARBON FOOTPRINT

We began by calculating the carbon footprint of Oxford Plastics at our head office site in Enstone, Oxfordshire. The position of Oxford Plastics' carbon footprint sits within the below framework (Figure 2) of the overall carbon footprint supply chain.



We chose to analyse a 12 month period of time to give an accurate representation of the company's energy and resource consumption. The months preceding September 2020 were highly affected by the global pandemic, which limited the company's production, electricity usage and employee travel

2 https://ghgprotocol.org/calculation-tools

3 Adapted from Tangram Technologies

among other carbon emitting activities. For this reason, figures were analysed from September 2020 – August 2021.

Carbon emission factors were sourced from the 'Greenhouse Gas Emissions Calculation Tool' published by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol². Figures 3 & 4 below illustrate the 12-month site carbon footprint of Oxford Plastics, segmented by scope 1, scope 2 and scope 3 emissions. The total site Co_2e (carbon dioxide equivalent emissions) over 12 months is 2,912.48 Tn.

SITE CARBON FOOTPRINT

Period: 12 months - 01 September 2020 - 31 August 2021

Figure 3 Oxford Plastics Site Carbon Footprint 2020-2021

Emissions	missions		Emissions		Purchased energy		Cost (estimated)	
type	Emission source	tCO ₂ e	%	kWh/yr	%	£/yr	%	
Scope 1: Direct	Gas (site heating)	0.00	0.00	о	0.00	ο	0.00	
emission	Oil use	358.40	12.31	1,385,155	18.26	84,000	8.17	
	Owned van	7.76	0.27					
	Refrigerant emissions	26.84	0.92					
	Sub total	393.00	13.49	o	0.00	84,000	8.17	
Scope 2: Imported	Electricity	1,610.88	55.31	7,586,664	100.00	944,732	91.83	
power/ utilities	Sub total	1,610.88	55.31	7,586,664	100.00	944,732	91.83	
Scope 3: Other	Electricity - T&D, WTT losses	599.12	20.57					
indirect emissions	Employee travel - own car	0.66	0.02					
(i.e. not owned)	Employee travel - air transport	38.68	1.33					
	Employee travel - taxi	0.13	0.00					
	Employee travel - rental car	0.22	0.01					
	Employee travel - train	0.00	0.00					
	Employee travel - bus	0.00	0.00					
	Employee travel - commuting	85.64	2.94					
	Self-employed sales agents	33.60	1.15					
	Contracted freight transport	137.47	4.72		Assumed data			
	Water use	1.10	0.04					
	Waste disposal	11.99	0.41					
	Sub total	908.61	31.20					
	TOTAL	2,912.48	100	7,586,664	100	1,028,732	100	



SITE CARBON FOOTPRINT



PRODUCT CARBON FOOTPRINT

Embodied product carbon footprint was calculated using carbon emission factors from 'ICE (Inventory of Carbon and Energy)' (V3.0 – 10 November 2019) by Dr Craig Jones and Professor Geoffrey Hammond, in association with Circular Ecology and University of Bath.⁴

The company's site carbon emission output, not including the scope 2 energy consumption, was then apportioned by product weight to calculate the embodied carbon footprint of products manufactured and sold by Oxford Plastics.

The company's scope 2 energy consumption was apportioned using internal data on the amount of electricity used to manufacture the component. Where parts are sourced, only the carbon emission factor of the embodied carbon within the material has been used.

An example calculation is drawn out in Figure 5 for the O683 Avalon Barrier 2m with ClearPath Extra Feet. This product has an embodied carbon footprint of 0.026 tCO2e. The carbon emission factor material of each component uses either data from the GHGProtocol tool, or data supplied by the material manufacturer.



Figure 5 Embodied Product Carbon Footprint Avalon Barrier with Standard Feet

Product	Components	Kg	Material	tCO ₂ e/kg	tCO ₂ e
Avalon 2m	PVC Cable	3.71	PVC pipe	0.00323	0.0119786
Clearpath extra feet	(r) LDPE - Fractional melt	0.41	LDPE resin	0.00208	0.0008570
0635	HDPE	0.41	HDPE resin	0.00193	0.0007952
	Avalon orange 2m	5.00	HDPE resin	0.00193	0.0096500
	(09899) 1.25m reflective strip	0.05	UPVC film	0.00316	0.0001580
	0609 Pultrusion	0.16	Glass reinforced plastic	0.00810	0.0012960
	OXP tCO ₂ e per Kg allocation	9.74		0.00004064	0.0003959
				KwH	
	Electricity cost per unit	£0.24		1.83	0.0005334
	Total tCO2e				0.0256623

A summary of core Oxford Plastics products and their embodied carbon footprint is illustrated in Figure 6.

4 https://circularecology.com/embodied-carbon-footprint-database.html



PRODUCT CARBON FOOTPRINT

Figure 6 Embodied Product Carbon Footprint

Category	Product code	Product	Total kgCO ₂ e
Barriers & Fencing	O635	Avalon 2m ClearPath Extra Feet	26
Barriers & Fencing	O635	Avalon 2m Standard Feet	28
Barriers & Fencing	O9738	SafeGate 4 Way	17
Barriers & Fencing	O204	SafeBase	9
Barriers & Fencing	O193	StrongWall MK3 Base	64
Barriers & Fencing	O629	StrongWall Middle	11
Barriers & Fencing	O687	StrongFence Top	6
Barriers & Fencing		StrongWall Assembled	74
Barriers & Fencing		StrongFence Assembled	81
Fence Feet & Heavy Bases	O203	Temporary Fence Weight	49
Fence Feet & Heavy Bases	O630	SoleBoard	4
Fence Feet & Heavy Bases	O614	OxStand	16
Ground Protection	O329	ClearPath Mat	74
Ground Protection	O681	EnduraGrid	8
Ground Protection	O651	EnduraMat 2440	73
Ground Protection	0751	EnduraMat 2400	73
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O815	LowPro 15/10	79
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O815	LowPro 11/11	57
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O839	LowPro 23/05 Road Plate Inner	106
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O726	LowPro 23/05 Road Plate Outer	67
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O362	LowPro 12/8	126
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O839	LowPro 15/05 Road Plate Inner	90
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O814	LowPro 15/05 Road Plate Outer	34
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O383	LowPro 12/8 Infill Short	4
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O364	LowPro 12/8 Infill Long	6
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O375	LowPro 15/10 Infill Short	6
Road Plates & Trench Covers	0840	LowPro 15/10 Infill Long	7
Road Plates & Trench Covers	0731	LowPro 23/05 Stillage	605
Road Plates & Trench Covers	0730	EasiLift Handles (Pair)	20
Road Plates & Trench Covers	O761	LowPro 100 40mm	10
Traffic Management	O424	EnduraSign Quickfit Frame	10
Traffic Management	O426	EnduraSign Ballast Weight	24
Traffic Management	O321	EnduraRamp 75mm Inner	40
Traffic Management	O323	EnduraRamp 75mm End	16
Traffic Management	O492	EnduraFrame 1500	23

Using the known embodied carbon footprint figures we have created case studies to explore the difference in carbon footprint between Oxford Plastics products and similar alternatives used on construction and other work sites.

CASE STUDY 1: Lifecycle Carbon Footprint in the UK of LowPro 23/05 Road Plates compared to Steel Road Plates

SUMMARY

The lifetime carbon footprint comparison for a set of steel road plates (Figure 8) versus a set of LowPro 23/05 Road Plates (Figure 7) shows that composite road plates have a significantly lower carbon footprint. Figure 9 illustrates the huge carbon emission savings of using a set of LowPro 23/05 Road Plates instead of steel road plates. This can save 79% of carbon emissions over the lifetime of the equipment



Figure 7 LowPro 23/05 Road Plate Set



Figure 8 Steel Road Plate Set

This represents a significant potential carbon footprint saving for supply chains in the construction industry. Making educated changes like this can have a positive and meaningful impact on the world's carbon footprint, where in 2021 11% of the world's carbon emissions output came from the construction industry.⁵



5 https://www.raeng.org.uk/news/news-releases/2021/september/construction-sector-must-move-further-and-faster-t

Procurement contracts and construction tenders are increasingly concerned with sustainability credentials; to actively promote sustainable procurement throughout their supply chain from contractors committed to the principles of reducing, reusing and recycling resources.

PARAMETERS

10

PARAMETERS

This case study explains the total carbon footprint over the life of Oxford Plastics' LowPro 23/05 Composite Road Plate, compared to the equivalent Steel Road Plate as determined by the HAUC Advice Note 2018/01 'SPECIFICATION AND OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FOOTWAY BOARDS, DRIVEWAY BOARDS, FOOTWAY RAMPS AND ROAD PLATES'.⁶

THE EQUIPMENT

The maximum trench width for the LowPro 23/05 is 1200mm. This is a modular product made up of 2.3m x 0.5m load bearing sections. Each piece weighs 65kg. The equivalent steel road plate, according to HAUC guidance, is 1.25m x 2.4m, 27mm thick weighing 635kg.

DATA SOURCES

Carbon emissions factors were sourced from the 'Greenhouse Gas Emissions Calculation Tool'⁷ published by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol , and 'ICE (Inventory of Carbon and Energy)' (V3.0 – 10 November 2019).⁸

6 https://roadworks.scot/sites/default/files/publications/add/HAUC%28UK%29%20Footway%20Boards%20HAUC%20Advice%202018.pdf

7 https://ghgprotocol.org/calculation-tools

8 https://circularecology.com/embodied-carbon-footprint-database.html



ASSUMPTIONS

THE APPLICATION

For this study we have chosen a typical example where a road plate is used. This study looks at excavations in the carriageway, where a road plate must cover the span of the road, and where most roads are 6m wide. Therefore, the quantity of LowPro 23/05 road plates needed to cover the trench is 12, and the quantity of steel road plates is 5.

DISTANCE TRAVELLED

Excavations are carried out across the country, with local hubs, distribution centres and depots typically within a few miles of the site. We have approximated that the work site is 30 miles away from where the road plate is stored. Transit has been calculated at 60 miles per job, to account for the equipment being transported to and from the work site.

IN TRANSIT

The typical vehicle that transports 12 LowPro 23/05 Road Plates, which in total weigh 780kg, is a 3.5t van. The typical vehicle that transports 5 steel road plates, which in total weigh 3175kg, is an 18t rigid HGV.

USAGE

Road plates can be in use on jobs from a few hours to several weeks. We have estimated that a set of road plates will be used on 20 jobs per year.

INSTALLATION

The LowPro 23/05 Road Plates, like all LowPro Road Plates & Trench Covers from Oxford Plastics, are manually installed. They can be transported to site and stored in the bespoke steel stillage which holds 14 pieces of road plate. In this instance, the full stillage must be manoeuvred by forklift. For maximum carbon efficiency the LowPros must be installed by hand. In this case study we have assumed that the road plate is moved by hand. A single steel road plate weighs 635kg, and so this must be moved by an HGV fitted with a crane. It is estimated by our customers that 10 litres of diesel is used over 2 hours to install and dismantle the set of steel road plates.

THE LIFECYCLE OF A ROAD PLATE

The lifecycle of a composite road plate can be many years with proper use. However, feedback from our customers show that steel road plates for hire are in use for typically 7 years. And so, we have selected 7 years as the lifecycle duration for this case study.

END OF LIFE

At end of life, it is estimated by Worldsteel LCI reports that 85% of steel is recycled.⁹ LowPro 23/05 Road Plates cannot be recycled, they are either burnt to create Energy from Waste (EFW) or sent to landfill. For the purpose of this example, we have assumed that most end of life LowPro 23/05 are sent to an energy from waste facility.

9 https://worldsteel.org/wp-content/uploads/Life-cycle-inventory-LCI-study-2020-data-release.pdf



RESULTS

The following figures show Oxford Plastic's calculations of the phases which add up to quantify the lifecycle carbon footprint. These have been split out into scope 1 & 2 emissions with the embodied carbon footprint, and scope 3 emissions with in transit, installation and end of life emissions.

EMBODIED CARBON FOOTPRINT

Equipment	Quantity required for 6m trench	tCO₂e per unit	Total Embodied tCO ₂ e
Steel road plate	5	0.827	4.133
LowPro 23/05 road plate	12	0.108	1.294

The quantity of road plates is multiplied by the embodied carbon footprint of 1 unit. This is calculated as the embodied product carbon footprint of a LowPro 23/05 Road Plate inner piece (Figure 5), and the embodied carbon footprint of a 1.25m x 2.4m, 27mm thick sheet of steel.

IN TRANSIT CARBON FOOTPRINT

Equipment	Vehicle	GHG Emission Factor tCO2e per mile	Miles per use	Journeys per year	Lifecycle (years)	Total Lifetime tCO2e
Steel road plates	Delivery vehicles - HGV (all diesel) - Rigid (>17 tonnes)	0.0015	60	20	7	13.004
LowPro 23/05 road plates	Delivery vehicles - Vans - Average (up to 3.5 tonnes) - Diesel	0.0004	60	20	7	3.383

The in transit carbon footprint is calculated by multiplying the carbon emission factor of the respective vehicle used to transport the equipment with the number of miles the equipment travels over its lifetime to work sites.

INSTALLATION CARBON FOOTPRINT FOR STEEL ROAD PLATES

Heavy Lifting Equipment	GHG Emission Factor per litre	Gallons per installation & dismantle	Instances per year	Lifecycle (years)	Total Installation tCO2e
Fuels - Diesel (average biofuel blend)	0.0026	10	20	7	3.581

The installation carbon footprint is calculated as 0 for LowPro 23/05 Road Plates, as no heavy lifting equipment is required for a manual installation. The steel road plate installation is calculated by multiplying the emission factor of a heavy-duty vehicle with the amount of diesel consumed over the lifetime of installations.



END OF LIFE

LowPro 23/05	%	GHG Emissions Factor CO2e/kg plastic	Weight kg	Total kg CO2e	Total tCO ₂ e
Energy from waste	100%	0.009	780	6.944	0.007
Steel Road Plate	%	GHG Emissions Factor CO ₂ e/kg plastic	Weight kg	Total kgCO ₂ e by End of Life	Total tCO ₂ e
Recycled to product	85%	0.021	3175	56.673	0.062
Landfill	15%	0.009	3175	4.286	

The end of life carbon footprint is calculated by multiplying the emission factor of the end of life process. Where all LowPro 23/05 Road Plates go to energy from waste, and the majority of steel road plated are recycled with a further 15% going to landfill.

LIFETIME COMPARISON

Equipment	Total Embodied tCO2e	Total Transit tCO2e	Installation tCO ₂ e	End of life tCO ₂ e	Total Lifecycle
Steel road plate	4.133	13.004	3.581	0.062	20.780
LowPro 23/05 road plate	1.294	3.383	0	0.007	4.432

The lifecycle carbon footprint is the sum of the earlier calculations. Here we can see that the overall carbon footprint of the lightweight, manually handled, composite LowPro 23/05 Road Plate is 21% of the steel road plate alternative. This signifies an 79% reduction in carbon emissions throughout the supply chain.

CONCLUSION

By using the set of LowPro 23/05 Road Plate instead of the set of steel road plates, there is 79% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions. This directly impacts the carbon footprint of the business, contractor, and customer where trench work is needed, to reduce carbon emissions through the supply chain.

In a single case of choosing the LowPro 23/05 instead of the steel road plates, the supply chain eliminates 16 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions. For a typical hire business in the UK with 1000 steel road plates within its fleet, using a lightweight road plate can save 1600 tonnes of CO2e over the lifetime of the products.



APPENDIX

This carbon footprint for site and product report has been independently verified by Hydrock, an award-winning, multidisciplinary engineering design consultancy, supporting clients across the UK with their ESG agendas.

View the assurance statement below.

	Hydrock [–]
Assurance Statement	9
Relating to the assurance engage	acment of Oxford Plastics 1 td
product carbon footprint carbon	
	assurance.
This Assurance Statement has been prepared for (Oxford Plastics Ltd in accordance with our contract.
Terms of Engagement Hydrock Limited was commissioned by Oxford Plaa assurance on the product carbon footprint LowPro	stics Ltd to provide greenhouse gas, specifically carbon 23/05.
Hydrock has not been involved in the collection of the Carbon footprint report.	f data, methodology or company scope 1,2 and 3 part of
	ion of data, methodology and reporting within the Carbon nited responsibility was to carry out Green House Gas xford Plastics Ltd.
Hydrock Limited Approach Our assurance engagement has been conducte verification of greenhouse gas assertions to provid	ed in accordance with our guidance for validation and le a level of assurance of the claim of conformity.
the following activities:	ent was undertaken as a sampling exercise and covered
 Interview relevant staff involved in the carb Assure the carbon footprint of the Product 	Carbon Footprint & case study 1 on the LowPro 23/05 vs
Steel plate.	·····
Review all relevant and submitted informat	
Recommend opportunities for improvement	17.
	tics Carbon Report, dated 16/10/20222 Product Carbor curate representation of the product carbon footprint and time of the study.
Notes for information:	tion service on all Green House Gas work to assure
conformity against standards and future cla	aims.
 This engagement has not verified any clair The client wishes to externally state the pro 	ms to PAS2050. vjects deliverables. Any risk to Hydrock Limited is mitigated
by this assurance statement and any future	
	riately qualified individuals based on their qualifications, surance engagements is internally reviewed by senior
Signed	Issue date: 20/10/2022 Expiry date: 20/10/2023
Matthew Pygott	
Lead GHG Verifier MatthewPygott@hydrock.com	

